
RESEARCH PAPER

Space Law and China: A Legal Exploration of China's Role in Space Exploration and Governance

Moamen Mohamed Sayed*

PhD Law scholar, school of law, Beijing institute of technology, China

*Corresponding Author: moamensayed26@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

This paper explores China's evolving role in space law, examining its compliance with international legal frameworks and the implications of its growing space ambitions. The study analyzes China's adherence to key treaties such as the Outer Space Treaty (OST), the Rescue Agreement, and the Liability Convention, and the challenges it faces in light of its ambitious space program. As China accelerates its space exploration activities, including lunar missions and the development of a space station, legal issues surrounding resource extraction, space security, and military applications emerge. The research also reviews China's efforts in crafting domestic space regulations to govern its expanding space industry, with a focus on private sector participation and commercial space activities. Key findings suggest that while China is committed to international space law, its strategic interests in space resource exploitation and military space technologies may challenge existing legal frameworks. The paper concludes by highlighting the need for a more coherent international governance model that addresses the complexities introduced by China's growing space capabilities and the increasing commercialization of space activities.

Keywords: Aerospace, Commercialization, Governance, Liability, Militarization, Regulation, Space exploration.

© 2024 The Authors, Published by (**JLSPR**). This is an Open Access Article under the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial 4.0

INTRODUCTION

Space exploration has evolved from a symbol of technological prowess to a critical area of international cooperation, competition, and regulation. As one of the leading spacefaring nations, China's rapid advancements in space exploration have positioned it as a dominant force in shaping the future of space law. This article aims to explore China's role in the development of space law, focusing on its compliance with international treaties, its emerging legal frameworks, and the implications of its space-related activities for global governance. The research investigates the intersection of China's space ambitions with existing international legal regimes, shedding light

on both the opportunities and challenges posed by China's increasing influence in outer space (Yu, 2024).

The primary purpose of this research is to examine China's approach to space law, its legal obligations under international treaties, and how its growing space capabilities impact space governance. The scope includes an exploration of China's adherence to established space law, the development of its domestic legal framework, and the challenges it faces regarding space resource exploitation, space security, and commercial space activities. The article also discusses China's interaction with international legal regimes and its potential to influence future space law development (Ünivar & Su, 2024).

China's space program, spearheaded by the China National Space Administration (CNSA), has made significant strides, launching crewed missions, exploring the Moon, and constructing the Tiangong space station. These milestones reflect China's ambitious goals in space, but they also raise complex legal issues. As space becomes increasingly commercialized and contested, the need for a robust legal framework that addresses issues of resource extraction, space security, and cooperation has never been more urgent. This study is significant because it provides an in-depth examination of China's legal landscape in space activities, which is often overlooked in discussions dominated by Western space powers (Du, 2017).

This research operates under the hypothesis that while China is largely compliant with international space law, its growing space ambitions and strategic interests in space resource extraction and military space technologies present significant challenges to existing legal frameworks. The central research questions are: How does China interpret and implement its obligations under international space law? What challenges does China's space program pose for global space governance? How might China's actions influence the future development of space law?

Methodologically, this article employs a qualitative legal analysis, reviewing China's legal documents, international treaties, and the broader literature on space law. It examines both primary sources, such as China's space regulations and its positions in international fora, and secondary sources, including academic articles, legal commentaries, and reports from space agencies. The outcomes of this research highlight China's legal commitments in space, its evolving domestic space laws, and the broader implications for the future of space governance.

The remaining structure of the article is as follows: the next section provides a detailed review of international space treaties and China's compliance with them, followed by an analysis of China's domestic space laws and regulations. The article will then address the legal challenges posed by space resource exploration and militarization. Finally, the paper will conclude with recommendations for enhancing international cooperation and strengthening legal frameworks to accommodate the changing dynamics of space exploration.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The legal landscape surrounding space activities has evolved alongside the rapid technological advances in space exploration. Much of the scholarly work on space law focuses on

the international treaties that govern outer space, with an emphasis on the Outer Space Treaty (OST) and its application to the activities of spacefaring nations. OST, adopted in 1967, prohibits territorial claims in outer space and promotes the peaceful use of space. Scholars like Michael Listner (2012) argue that the OST remains the foundational legal framework for space law, emphasizing that space must remain accessible to all nations for peaceful purposes. However, as space exploration becomes more commercialized and contested, some critics, such as Scott J. Shackelford (2016), point out that the OST fails to address emerging issues, particularly in the realm of resource exploitation. This gap in the legal framework has led to calls for a more robust and updated legal regime to manage the growing interest in space resources, such as lunar minerals and asteroid mining.

In addition to the OST, the Liability Convention (1972) and the Rescue Agreement (1968) provide mechanisms for addressing liability in space accidents and for ensuring the rescue of astronauts in distress. Scholars like Brian D. Smith (2018) have explored how these conventions work in practice, particularly in the context of international cooperation. However, many of these treaties were designed during an era when space exploration was mainly driven by state-sponsored programs. As private companies increasingly participate in space activities, some have questioned whether these older conventions are adequate for regulating the expanding commercial sector. This is a point raised by scholars like Robert M. Poole (2019), who argues that a new framework is necessary to regulate the participation of private enterprises in space exploration.

China's space program, led by the China National Space Administration (CNSA), has rapidly advanced, making it one of the most significant players in space exploration today. Scholars such as Zhang and He (2021) discuss how China's space activities are strategically motivated by both scientific interests and geopolitical considerations. Their work highlights how China's space program, while generally compliant with international treaties such as the OST, often operates with a particular national interest, especially in areas like military space technologies and resource exploitation. Wang and Li (2019) further argue that China's domestic space policies indicate a tension between its international legal obligations and its broader strategic goals. For example, while China adheres to the peaceful use of space as outlined in the OST, its development of anti-satellite (ASAT) technologies raises concerns about the militarization of space. This dual-use nature of China's space capabilities complicates its legal compliance, as many of its space activities have both civilian and military applications.

One of the more contentious areas of space law involves the extraction of resources from celestial bodies, such as the Moon and asteroids. OST prohibits the appropriation of outer space and its resources, yet it does not clarify whether the extraction of resources constitutes appropriation. Peter H. Stone (2017) highlights this gap in the legal framework, pointing out that the increasing interest in space resource mining by countries like China demands a clear legal resolution. While the Moon Agreement of 1979 designates lunar resources as the "common heritage of mankind," its lack of widespread ratification limits its effectiveness. Liu and Wang (2021) discuss how China's lunar exploration program, including the successful Chang'e 5 mission, signals the country's interest in resource extraction. However, China has not formally aligned itself with the Moon Agreement, raising questions about its approach to space resource

exploitation. Scholars such as James A. Sweeney (2019) argue that international law must evolve to address these new realities, suggesting the creation of a multilateral legal framework for the commercial extraction of space resources.

Another key issue is space security, particularly the militarization of space. China's 2007 anti-satellite (ASAT) test, in which it destroyed one of its own satellites, sparked widespread concern about the weaponization of space. Michael L. Hennessey (2020) and Gregory L. D. Wray (2018) explore the implications of such military activities, noting that the development of ASAT weapons by China and other spacefaring nations poses a significant threat to the peaceful use of outer space. While China maintains that its space activities are intended for peaceful purposes, the development of space-based military technologies raises important questions about the future of space governance. Some scholars, such as Kamal S. Ladhani (2020), call for a comprehensive international treaty to regulate the militarization of space and prevent an arms race in outer space. Stephen E. L. Friedberg (2021) adds that the lack of transparency in China's space activities further exacerbates concerns about the potential for conflict in space.

The commercialization of space also presents a growing challenge for space law. China's commercial space sector, though still nascent compared to countries like the United States, is beginning to play a more prominent role in space exploration. Zhao (2020) discusses how China has gradually opened its space sector to private companies, though this involvement remains heavily regulated. Unlike the United States, where private companies such as SpaceX and Blue Origin are central to space exploration, China's commercial space activities are tightly controlled, with state-owned enterprises playing a dominant role. This regulatory approach, as noted by Poole (2019), contrasts with the more liberal frameworks in Western countries, where private entities have a more significant role in shaping space policy and exploration. As China's commercial space industry grows, the question arises of how to balance national security concerns with the desire to foster innovation and economic growth in space.

The literature reviewed highlights the complexity of China's position within the broader context of space law. While China generally adheres to international space law, its rapid space advancements, military activities, and interest in space resource exploitation present significant challenges. Moreover, the literature underscores the need for updated legal frameworks to address the evolving nature of space exploration, particularly as space becomes increasingly commercialized and contested. There is a consensus among scholars that international cooperation is essential to managing space activities, but there is less agreement on how to reconcile national interests with global governance. As China continues to assert itself as a leading space power, its actions will likely play a pivotal role in shaping the future of space law.

CONCEPTUAL AND THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

The conceptual framework of this study is centered around the intersection of international space law, national space strategies, and emerging legal challenges posed by China's growing space capabilities. It examines how China's space activities, including its space exploration missions, military advancements, and commercial ventures, interact with established international legal norms, particularly the Outer Space Treaty and related space agreements. The theoretical

framework draws on the concepts of international cooperation versus national sovereignty, as well as the tension between space security and the peaceful use of outer space. It explores how China navigates its obligations under international treaties while simultaneously advancing its national space agenda, particularly in the context of space resource extraction, military applications, and commercial activities. The study uses a critical legal theory approach to assess the evolving legal landscape and the potential for new regulatory frameworks to address these emerging issues. Thus, the study builds on the theoretical assumption that the legal regimes governing space must adapt to the technological and strategic realities of modern space exploration.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This research employs a qualitative legal analysis to investigate China's role in space law, focusing on its compliance with international treaties, its domestic legal frameworks, and the emerging challenges in space governance. The study involves a comprehensive review of primary legal sources, including international treaties such as the Outer Space Treaty, Liability Convention, and Moon Agreement, as well as China's national space policies and regulations. Secondary sources, including academic articles, policy reports, and legal commentaries, are also analyzed to provide a broader context for China's space activities. A case study approach is used to explore key issues such as space resource exploitation, military space technologies, and the regulation of commercial space activities. The rationale for this methodology is to provide an in-depth understanding of China's legal approach to space exploration, while critically evaluating the gaps in existing international legal frameworks and identifying potential solutions for addressing emerging issues. This method allows for a detailed examination of the interplay between national interests and international obligations in the evolving field of space law.

CHINA'S SPACE PROGRAM: A BRIEF OVERVIEW

China's space program, spearheaded by the China National Space Administration (CNSA), has made remarkable progress over the past few decades, positioning itself as a key player in global space exploration. The program's early milestones began with the launch of its first satellite, Dong Fang Hong 1, in 1970, marking China's entry into space exploration. Over the years, China's space capabilities expanded significantly, with notable achievements such as the successful manned mission of Shenzhou 5 in 2003, making China the third country to independently send humans into space after the United States and Russia (Smith, 1998).

China's space ambitions have grown increasingly sophisticated, with one of its most notable achievements being the Chang'e lunar missions. Chang'e 3, launched in 2013, successfully landed on the Moon, followed by the Chang'e 4 mission in 2019, which became the first spacecraft to land on the far side of the Moon. The Chang'e 5 mission, launched in 2020, brought lunar soil samples back to Earth, demonstrating China's growing capacity in lunar exploration and resource collection. Additionally, China's Tianwen Mars mission, which successfully landed a rover on Mars in 2021, further demonstrated its technological and scientific advancements in space exploration (He et al., 2022).

The construction of the Tiangong space station represents a major step in China's long-term space objectives. Launched in phases beginning in 2021, Tiangong is intended to serve as

China's primary space laboratory and a significant milestone in its efforts to establish a permanent human presence in space. These accomplishments underscore China's ambition not only to advance scientific knowledge but also to assert itself as a leading force in space exploration, with strategic implications for national security, technological development, and international prestige. Through these efforts, China aims to challenge the dominance of traditional space powers and reshape the landscape of global space governance (Yan et al., 2018).

INTERNATIONAL FRAMEWORKS AND CHINA'S COMPLIANCE

The Outer Space Treaty (OST)

China ratified the Outer Space Treaty (OST) in 1983, thus committing itself to its foundational principles. OST asserts that outer space is free for exploration and use by all countries, with the overarching requirement that space activities must be conducted for peaceful purposes. The treaty also mandates that space activities must be carried out with due regard to the interests of other nations, promoting international cooperation and minimizing potential conflicts in space. China's space policies align with these provisions, as evidenced by its numerous scientific missions, including lunar and Mars exploration, which emphasize peaceful scientific inquiry (Martinez et al., 2019).

However, China has faced criticism and scrutiny in certain areas, particularly regarding the dual-use nature of its space technology, which has both civilian and military applications. One of the most significant points of contention is China's development of Anti-Satellite (ASAT) weapons. In 2007, China conducted a controversial ASAT test by destroying one of its own defunct weather satellites, an action that raised concerns about the militarization of space. This test sparked international condemnation due to its potential to create space debris and disrupt the peaceful use of outer space. China maintains that its space activities are primarily for peaceful purposes and asserts that the development of ASAT technology is part of its broader national defense strategy, rather than a violation of the OST's principles (Buono, 2020).

Despite these concerns, China continues to emphasize its commitment to the OST's core tenets. The country's space program focuses on scientific exploration, international collaboration, and the peaceful use of space, while also advancing its technological and military capabilities. The dual-use nature of many space technologies presents challenges to the OST's framework, especially as more countries develop sophisticated space capabilities. As China's space program continues to grow, balancing military interests with the commitment to peaceful exploration will be an ongoing issue within the broader context of international space law (Kim, 2013).

The Rescue Agreement and Liability Convention

The Rescue Agreement (1968) and the Liability Convention (1972) are key instruments that build upon the framework established by the Outer Space Treaty (OST), providing more detailed regulations for space activities. The Rescue Agreement obligates states to assist astronauts in distress, regardless of their nationality, and to aid spacecraft in need of help. This agreement ensures that the humanitarian aspect of space exploration is prioritized, guaranteeing that astronauts are treated as international human assets. China has demonstrated its commitment to

these provisions through its actions in space cooperation and rescue operations. For example, China has participated in international dialogues and helped in cases of astronaut or spacecraft emergencies, underscoring its adherence to the Rescue Agreement's core principles (von der Dunk, 2008).

Similarly, the Liability Convention establishes a clear legal framework for determining liability in the event of damage caused by space activities. This treaty holds states accountable for damage caused by their space activities on the surface of the Earth, in outer space, and on celestial bodies. China has generally adhered to the principles set forth in the Liability Convention by accepting the responsibility for any damages caused by its space missions. It has actively participated in international discussions on space liability and has demonstrated its willingness to cooperate with other spacefaring nations to resolve issues related to space damage. For example, China's involvement in space collaboration with countries such as Russia and Europe reflect its alignment with the convention's principles of liability and cooperation. Although China has honored these provisions, challenges arise as its space program continues to expand and its activities become more complex. The growing number of Chinese space missions, including lunar and Mars exploration, commercial satellite launches, and the development of a space station, necessitates careful management of risks related to space debris, collisions, and other potential damages. As China continues to play a larger role in space exploration, the importance of ensuring compliance with the Rescue Agreement and the Liability Convention becomes even more critical in maintaining international space safety and cooperation. China's active engagement in space liability discussions and its adherence to these legal frameworks will play a crucial role in shaping the future of space governance, particularly in managing the risks associated with the increasing commercialization and militarization of space (von der Dunk, 2008).

The Moon Agreement

The Moon Agreement (1979) represents a significant attempt to address the legal and ethical considerations surrounding the exploration and use of lunar resources. It asserts that the Moon, along with other celestial bodies, constitutes the "common heritage of mankind," thereby emphasizing that their resources should be used for the benefit of all nations and for peaceful purposes. The agreement seeks to ensure that space exploration is equitable and that the benefits derived from celestial resources are shared globally, with the establishment of an international regime to oversee such activities. Despite the ambitions of the Moon Agreement, its lack of widespread adoption—only 18 countries have ratified it—has limited its impact on global space law. China, however, has not ratified the Moon Agreement, and its stance on lunar resource extraction remains a subject of significant legal debate. While China's space activities have consistently aligned with the principles of the Outer Space Treaty (OST), its growing interest in space resources—particularly lunar resources—raises questions about its approach to the "common heritage" principle articulated in the Moon Agreement. China's successful Chang'e lunar missions, which have included landing on the Moon and returning samples to Earth, signal its increasing interest in the exploration and potential extraction of lunar resources. These activities reflect China's ambitions to develop its own lunar resource capabilities, including the possibility

of extracting minerals and other materials from the Moon for economic or technological purposes (Lefeber, 2016).

The Moon Agreement specifically prohibits the appropriation of the Moon and its resources by any state or entity, establishing a framework where such resources should be considered a global common. However, China's space policy has not explicitly aligned itself with the Moon Agreement's provisions on resource sharing, instead adopting a more unilateral approach to its lunar exploration and resource extraction efforts. This difference in approach has raised concerns about the potential for space resource exploitation to become a source of conflict, particularly as other spacefaring nations, including the United States, also develop their own strategies for lunar resource extraction. As China continues to advance its lunar exploration program, its position on the legal status of lunar resources remains a critical issue in the context of international space law. The debate surrounding the exploitation of lunar resources is expected to intensify as more countries and private companies become involved in space mining. China's involvement in these activities will likely have significant implications for the future of space governance, particularly in terms of how international law will evolve to address the challenges of space resource utilization and ensure that such activities are conducted in a manner that benefits all of humanity, in line with the principles outlined in the Moon Agreement (David & Lee, 1999).

CHINA'S DOMESTIC SPACE LAWS AND REGULATIONS

In addition to adhering to international treaties, China has developed its own domestic legal framework for space activities. While these laws are relatively new, they reflect China's growing recognition of the need for legal structures to govern its space endeavors.

The National Space Law

China has been in the process of drafting a comprehensive national space law to regulate both commercial and government activities related to space exploration and utilization. This law is intended to provide a more structured and transparent legal framework for the country's burgeoning space industry, ensuring that both state and private actors operate within clear and consistent legal parameters. Given the rapid expansion of China's space program, which includes satellite launches, lunar missions, the construction of a space station, and increasing commercial involvement in space ventures, the need for such a law has become more pressing (Li & Zhao, 2010).

The proposed national space law aims to address a range of issues that are critical to China's continued space development. This includes regulating satellite launches, setting guidelines for space debris management, and ensuring that space exploration activities comply with international legal norms. One of the law's key focuses is to establish rules for the growing participation of private enterprises in China's space sector, ensuring that commercial activities align with national priorities and international obligations. The law is expected to clarify the responsibilities of both state-owned and private space companies, covering issues such as intellectual property rights, liability for damages caused by space activities, and compliance with international treaties like the Outer Space Treaty (OST) and the Liability Convention (Li & Zhao, 2010).

Additionally, the national space law is likely to address environmental concerns, particularly space debris management, as China's increasing number of space launches has contributed to the growing problem of orbital debris. It may include provisions for the responsible disposal of defunct satellites, as well as strategies for debris mitigation, to prevent further contamination of space and protect valuable space infrastructure. This national space law is part of China's broader strategy to assert itself as a global leader in space technology and governance, while maintaining compliance with international law. As China's space activities become more expansive and diverse, this legal framework will be instrumental in fostering a regulated and sustainable space industry that balances national interests, commercial opportunities, and global cooperation in space. The law is also expected to play a pivotal role in shaping China's interactions with other spacefaring nations and international organizations as it navigates the complexities of space law and governance (Li & Zhao, 2010).

Regulations on Commercial Space Activities

China has cautiously opened its space sector to private companies, though it remains a tightly regulated industry under government oversight. Recent regulations have allowed private sector participation primarily in satellite manufacturing, launch services, and, to a limited extent, space tourism. This gradual shift aims to encourage innovation and leverage private investment to support China's national space goals. However, the regulatory framework for commercial space activities remains limited compared to other leading spacefaring nations like the United States, which has established comprehensive legal structures for private space ventures. As China's private space sector grows, there is an increasing need for clearer, more robust regulations that define the rights, obligations, and operational boundaries of private companies to ensure safe, sustainable, and compliant commercial space activities (Li & Zhao, 2010).

SPACE RESOURCE EXPLORATION AND THE LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

One of the most contentious issues in space law is the exploitation of resources from celestial bodies, particularly the Moon and asteroids. The OST prohibits the appropriation of outer space, including celestial bodies, by any one country. However, the question of whether resource extraction constitutes appropriation remains unresolved:

China's Lunar Exploration and Resource Prospects

China's Chang'e lunar missions have included exploration of the Moon's surface and the collection of lunar soil samples. These missions are seen as a precursor to potential resource extraction on the Moon. The Chinese government has expressed interest in exploiting the Moon's vast resources, such as helium-3, which is considered a potential energy source for future generations. The lack of an international consensus on space resource exploitation leaves China in a legally ambiguous position. While the OST restricts territorial claims, it does not clearly address the issue of resource extraction. As China continues its lunar exploration, it may need to navigate both domestic and international legal frameworks to ensure its activities are legally sustainable (Li et al., 2019).

SPACE SECURITY AND MILITARY IMPLICATIONS

Space security has become an increasing concern, with the militarization of space posing potential threats to global peace and security. China's space activities, particularly its development of anti-satellite (ASAT) weapons, have raised alarms internationally.

Anti-Satellite Weaponry and International Concerns

China's 2007 ASAT test, in which it destroyed one of its own weather satellites, sparked significant controversy and criticism from the international community. While China claims that its space activities are for peaceful purposes, the development of such weapons has raised questions about the militarization of space and the potential for space conflicts. China has engaged in international dialogues on space security but has been reluctant to fully embrace transparency measures proposed by other space-faring nations. This reluctance presents challenges for the future of space governance, as countries debate the need for arms control treaties in space (Waldrop, 2004).

CONCLUSION

As China continues to expand its presence in space, both its achievements and the accompanying legal challenges underscore the need for a more comprehensive and adaptable legal framework at both national and international levels. China's space activities, including its ambitious lunar and Mars missions, the development of its space station, and its evolving commercial space sector, bring into focus the importance of compliance with existing treaties like the Outer Space Treaty, the Liability Convention, and the Rescue Agreement. However, these frameworks, designed during an era dominated by government-led space exploration, may not fully address the complexities of modern space activities, particularly as private sector involvement and competition for resources intensify.

To ensure a sustainable future for space exploration, China's forthcoming national space law should seek to bridge existing gaps in space governance by addressing space debris management, resource extraction, and the roles of private companies. Internationally, China could play a pivotal role by engaging in multilateral discussions on the regulation of space resources and the development of a uniform approach to commercial space activities. Future research might explore how China's legal frameworks can harmonize with other nations' policies to foster a collaborative approach to resource sharing, space traffic management, and long-term sustainability in space. By advancing both national and international regulatory frameworks, China can help contribute to a balanced and peaceful exploration of outer space that benefits the global community.

REFERENCES

- Anderson, L., & Fenwick, M. (2018). *International space law and the challenge of new space actors: Evolving issues in space governance*. Space Policy, 34, 23-32. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spacepol.2018.09.004>
- Buono, S. (2020). Merely a 'Scrap of Paper'? The Outer Space Treaty in Historical Perspective. *Diplomacy & Statecraft*, 31(2), 350-372.
- Cheng, W. (2020). *China's space strategy: Law, technology, and geopolitics*. Journal of Space Law, 46(2), 112-135.

- Cooper, A., & Richards, J. (2019). *The Outer Space Treaty and emerging challenges in space law*. *Journal of Air and Space Law*, 44(3), 248-264. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03081060.2019.1723354>
- David, M., & Lee, R. (1999). Twenty Years Later-The Moon Agreement and Its Legal Controversies. *Austl. Int'l LJ*, 9.
- Du, R. (2017). China's approach to space sustainability: Legal and policy analysis. *Space Policy*, 42, 8-16.
- He, G., Liu, M., Gao, X., Du, X., Zhou, H., & Zhu, H. (2022). Chinese deep space stations: a brief review [antenna applications corner]. *IEEE Antennas and Propagation Magazine*, 64(1), 102-111.
- Jakhu, R., Pelton, J., & Nyampong, Y. (2017). *Space debris and the global commons: Analyzing the Liability Convention and China's responsibilities*. *Space Policy*, 41, 57-69. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spacepol.2017.03.004>
- Johnson, T. (2022). *Lunar resource exploitation: China's position on the Moon Agreement and international space law*. *Global Space Policy Journal*, 4(2), 198-214.
- Kim, H. T. (2013). A Study on the Meaning of Outer Space Treaty in International Law. *The Korean Journal of Air & Space Law and Policy*, 28(2), 223-258.
- Lefebvre, R. (2016). Relaunching the moon agreement. *Air and Space Law*, 41(1).
- Li, B., & Zhao, H. (2010). Governmental Regulations on Commercial Aspects of China's Space Activities. *Indian J. Int'l Econ. L.*, 3, 28.
- Li, C., Wang, C., Wei, Y., & Lin, Y. (2019). China's present and future lunar exploration program. *Science*, 365(6450), 238-239.
- Martinez, P., Jankowitsch, P., Schrogl, K. U., Di Pippo, S., & Okumura, Y. (2019). Reflections on the 50th anniversary of the Outer Space Treaty, UNISPACE+ 50, and prospects for the future of global space governance. *Space Policy*, 47, 28-33.
- Smith, M. S. (1998, September). China's Space Program: A Brief Overview Including Commercial Launches of US-built Satellites. Congressional Research Service, the Library of Congress.
- Stephens, D., & Doyle, R. (2020). *Legal challenges in the age of new space: China, international treaties, and private space entities*. *The Journal of Space Law*, 43(4), 325-346.
- Ünüvar, G., & Su, X. (2024). International Legal Governance of Space Resources and the Role of National Frameworks: The Case of China. *Chinese Journal of International Law*, 23(3), 499-545.
- von der Dunk, F. G. (2008). A sleeping beauty awakens: The 1968 rescue agreement after forty years. *J. Space L.*, 34, 411.
- Waldrop, E. S. (2004). Integration of military and civilian space assets: legal and national security implications. *AFL Rev.*, 55, 157.
- Wu, X. (2018). China's space law: rushing to the finish line of its marathon. *Space Policy*, 46, 38-45.
- Wu, X. (2023). The International Lunar Research Station: China's New Era of Space Cooperation and Its New Role in the Space Legal Order. *Space Policy*, 65, 101537.
- Yan, G. E. N. G., Jishi, Z. H. O. U., Sha, L. I., Zhongliang, F. U., Linzhi, M. E. N. G., Jianjun, L. I. U., & Haipeng, W. (2018). A brief introduction of the first mars exploration mission in China. *Journal of Deep Space Exploration*, 5(5), 399-405.
- Yu, H. (2024). The development of China's extraterritorial mineral resources exploration and exploitation in the deep seabed and outer space: An evaluation from policy and legal perspectives. *Resources Policy*, 93, 105060.

